

# British Nationalist


FEBRUARY 1989

FOR RACE AND NATION



## The Common Market:

# TIME TO GET OUT!



The Headquarters of the European Commission in Brussels (above) is the place where an increasing number of decisions are being made which profoundly affect the lives of people in this country. Progressively, our political leaders have been surrendering power over British affairs to the bureaucrats in Europe, taking care to conceal this surrender as much as possible from the British public. The year 1992 is going to mark a stage at which further European integration is planned, with the institution of a single market for trade, with no national barriers of any kind permitted. At the same time, the Eurocrats (including some of our own Euro-fanatics) are pressing for a United States of Europe which will for ever destroy British freedom. They must be opposed

## EEC membership has brought disaster to Britain. We should not be arguing what future form the Community should take; we must leave it without further ado

THEY CALLED IT a 'middle class mutiny'. This was typical of the expressions used by some of the newspapers to describe the demonstration march in Maidstone recently in protest against the possible routing of a high-speed Channel Tunnel rail link through a popular area of natural beauty in Kent.

No doubt a few of the marchers were sincere anti-Marketters who opposed, not just the particular lunacy of the Channel Tunnel and its rail links, but the whole shambles of the Common Market itself, of which this lunacy is just a part. It is probable, however, that the majority of those on the march were people who have been perfectly content, over the years, to support successive Tory Governments, including the present one, in their pro-Market policies, but do not like it when one of the consequences of these

policies affect them personally by threatening their own local environment.

A new term has come into the dictionary to describe these people: they are 'Nimbies'. A 'Nimby' is someone who is quite content to allow a development that is harmful to the country — as long as it is 'Not In My Back Yard!' Nimbies are for the most part self-centred people who will not lift a finger to fight the damage being done to Britain by the politicians unless

they personally suffer harm as a result.

Nevertheless, we should not underestimate the significance of the Kent demonstration, which was reckoned as involving 6,000 people. It was a token of the anger that is now being caused by the Government pressing on single-mindedly with its European policies, regardless of the harmful consequences to Britain and increasing numbers of her people.

### THE SOVEREIGNTY ARGUMENT

At the present time, Mrs. Thatcher, mindful of the growing unpopularity of the Common Market in this country and of the rising apprehension of the British people that they are going to lose their national freedom in an integrated Europe, has been striking postures of opposition to further schemes for European union, including particularly the establishment of a single European currency and the eventual setting up of a European super-government.

We believe that this opposition by Mrs. Thatcher is unrealistic and futile. These aims have always been integral parts of the plans for the future European Community, and she knows it. Having headed a government which for the past decade has been taking us increasingly in a European direction, and having before that been a member of, or supported, governments dedicated to the same policy, she is in no position now to pose as a champion of British 'sovereignty'. We have



### BEATING ABOUT THE BUSH OVER EUROPE

Mrs. Thatcher (shown here visiting a shop in Madrid) loves going to Europe and appearing before the British public as a 'champion' of Britain's interests within the EEC. Currently she is engaged in a big argument with the European leaders about the question of sovereignty, insisting that our sovereignty is not up for sale. In fact, Britain has already sacrificed a great deal of effective sovereignty by her immersion in the EEC up to this time. The Community was always intended to become a full political union, and Mrs. Thatcher knows it. She should stop beating about the bush over Europe; she should take the initiative to bring Britain out. She will not of course do this, and this is what makes her present postures against the Euro-overlords a total sham

already lost a great deal of sovereignty by our immersion in Europe up to date. We started the process by joining an economic area which forbade us to take protective measures to safeguard our own home industries against import invasions of EEC goods. We stepped the process up further when we began to accept European court rulings on many matters of British law. This process of making British courts subordinate to European courts was continued by the passing through parliament not long ago of the Single European Act (supported by Mrs. T.).

But most of all, Mrs. Thatcher does not stand the slightest hope of deflecting the leaders of the European Community from their ultimate aim, which is a fully integrated European state in which national governments will have no greater powers than mere county or provincial councils. That is the way the EEC is heading. It is the

### PARLIAMENT

Will become nothing more than a county council if Britain does not extract herself from the EEC


way it has always been heading. And Britain is not going to stop it.

### NEED TO WITHDRAW

There therefore only remains one realistic policy left to this country, and that is to pull out of Europe. lock, stock and barrel! Let us not waste any more time arguing with the heads of Europe


Contd. on page 3

## THE CHANNEL TUNNEL: AN EXPENSIVE WHITE ELEPHANT



THE PHOTO on the left shows a demonstration march in Maidstone, Kent, staged by local residents in protest against government plans for a high-speed rail link with the Continent, which will pass through the area on its way to the Channel Tunnel.

The Tunnel will in fact be a huge white elephant. Costings have revealed that travel through it will be MORE EXPENSIVE than the present cross-channel ferry. It is simply a big POLITICAL stunt, designed to serve as a symbol to the British people, and to convince them that we are a part of Europe physically as well as economically and politically. The Kent environment will suffer great damage — and to no good purpose.





## HIROHITO'S FUNERAL: THE BRITISH ESTABLISHMENT CRAWLS

**HARDLY** had Emperor Hirohito, Japan's wartime head of state, died than it was announced that no less a personage than Prince Philip would be dispatched to pay last respects on Britain's behalf at his funeral. The decision was made, presumably at the prompting of the Government, with a haste which can only be regarded as indecent.

In fact, Britain was only the third of all the world's nations to announce that she would be sending a high-ranking representative of state. She was pipped at the post only by Honduras and Indonesia.

In other words, the British establishment could not crawl fast enough to the Asian country whose armies so sadistically enslaved, humiliated and tormented 50,000 British servicemen unfortunate enough to fall into their clutches as POWs during the 1941-45 war, at least a quarter of whom died from the brutality to which they were subjected. The feelings of THIS group of war survivors is officially made to count for nothing.

This is all of a piece with the whole attitude of Britain's modern-day rulers, in whose scale of priorities not just the feelings but the vital national interests of our own people are routinely put last — after those of the rest of the world, particularly the coloured world.

British POWs in German camps during the last war were, for the most part, decently treated. Nevertheless, our rulers have never ceased to endorse and participate in the global vendetta against our fellow Whites in Germany, whilst they have been only too eager to let our oriental foe from the same conflict off the hook altogether!

Meanwhile, Japan has never really ceased to be Britain's enemy, or indeed that of the whole white world to which Britain belongs. This remains true, although the Japs no longer wage war against us by military means but have chosen economic warfare instead.

Their industries now plunder us of our technology; their goods invade our markets, taking our people's jobs; and the trading profits which they have amassed at our expense are now being used to conquer what is left of our economy by buying it up, British workers being acquired in the process as their serfs. No doubt the Japanese quietly relish this subtle reversal of the outcome of the last war.

But what is most appalling is that our Government seems to relish such developments. Having allowed the Japs to devastate our own industry, our Prime Minister enthuses over its replacement by Jap undertakings run by Jap bosses on our soil.

Small wonder then, after all this, to find our rulers high-tailing it to Japan to kow-tow at Hirohito's funeral; and small wonder that this should be done in a way that rides roughshod over the feelings of mere Britons.

Where Japan is concerned, all is forgiven and forgotten, regardless of the sense of national honour and decency of our own folk.

In some papers it has even been admitted that this appeasement was dictated largely by the desire not to annoy the Japs lest they refuse to build more factories in Britain. What a revolting come-down for a supposed victor in the last war!

# EUROPE: THE MYTHS AND THE FACTS

**MYTH:** Britain is not big enough to survive outside Europe. Her prosperity and security requires that she be part of a larger bloc.

**FACT:** Sweden, Switzerland and Austria, just to give three examples, are surviving very satisfactorily outside the European Community. So also is Finland. And, going beyond the European Continent, we can see further examples in Singapore and Taiwan. All of these states are minute by comparison with Britain, and yet they are able to be prosperous and successful.

In addition to this, Britain, if she withdrew from the Common Market, could immediately set about mending the broken links with the countries of the White Commonwealth, like Australia, New Zealand and Canada. It is not inconceivable that we could eventually build a trading area with these countries, bearing in mind that their economies and ours are complementary. These countries, together with Britain, would represent combined populations of nearly 100 million people, three quarters of them of British stock. Such a grouping could not exactly be called 'small'. In addition to this, it is quite possible that South Africa could be persuaded to associate herself, in a looser way, with such an area, if we dropped our absurd policies of opposition to her internal politics. With all these countries, Britain has a much better basis for a trading relationship: we produce what they want and they produce what we want.

**MYTH:** You are living in the past; the age of the British Empire is gone. All the countries you mention have now severed their once close relationships with us and have gone their own separate ways.

**FACT:** To propose that we seek a renewal of our trading relationships with these countries is not to suggest that this should be done under the aegis of 'Empire'. Nor is it to suggest that, as is the case with Europe, there should be an attempt at centralisation and standardisation in internal affairs. We can, by withdrawing from Europe, place ourselves in a position to make an offer to such countries that they could scarcely refuse, namely preference in the British market for trade in the food products and raw materials that Britain cannot produce at home and must import. From this, we would be in a good position to negotiate favourable treatment for British exports in their own markets — although this may not be achieved immediately.

The facts concerning the separation of the old Dominions from Britain are

with Europe has greatly expanded while that with the White Commonwealth has formed a declining part of our overall overseas trade. Surely this shows that it is in Europe that our future economic interests lie.

**FACT:** Of course our trade with Europe has expanded much more than our trade with the White Commonwealth! This is only to be expected in view of the policies of the past 30 years, and particularly, since we joined the EEC. This, however, does not mean that our overall world trade has profited

*During the three decades in which politicians, parliament and press have been trying to persuade the British people to accept and embrace membership of the European Community, they have regularly employed a series of arguments, constantly repeated and often grudgingly accepted — by those who have not troubled to ascertain the facts and think the matter properly through. By these means, a widespread mythology surrounding Britain and Europe has developed, which has seldom been effectively challenged in public debate. Here we examine some of the most oft-repeated arguments employed as part of this mythology, for it is high time that the British public was made aware of them.*

by such a process. By withdrawing from the EEC, we can start to expand enormously our trade in other parts of the world, in particular with the countries of the White Commonwealth.

**MYTH:** In the Single European Market, due to come into effect in 1992, Britain will be part of a market of 300 million people — far more than the White Commonwealth. The opportunities for trade in such a huge area will be enormous; by withdrawal, we would sacrifice this opportunity.

**FACT:** Trade is not just one way; by being in Europe, we do not just have access to European markets, we give Europeans access to the British market. The record of what has happened since our entry into the EEC in 1973 shows that the result of this has been disastrous: Europeans have grabbed much more trade in this country than we have been able to do in Europe. The result is an appalling adverse balance of trade in manufactured goods between Britain and the other members of the Community.

With the Single European Market due to come into effect in 1992, this tendency would simply be further accentuated. The continentals would make further inroads into the British market without our gaining anything like a compensating part of the market in Europe.

**MYTH:** Europe lives under the shadow of the Soviet military colossus. Only by building a united Europe will we be able to be powerful enough to deter a Soviet invasion

idea that they should wish to conquer West Germany, France, Britain and all the other Western European nations belongs to fantasy.

Quite obviously, if all the nations of Western Europe were confronted by a powerful external threat they would combine in alliance to resist it. This does not necessitate, however, that

thereby leave the US free to build up her influence elsewhere in the world, particularly in Canada and Australasia.

We should not be mesmerised by this 'super-power' business. Mere population size and economic statistics do not themselves necessarily constitute 'power'. Perhaps more important is national cohesion of the kind that enables people to act as one single body. The United States and Russia are polyglot states, comprising many races and nationalities. The history of the 20th century should have shown that a middle-to-large power, of the 'cruiser-weight' division, and internally homogeneous and united, can be a match for the super-heavyweights.

Quite apart from this consideration, what would be the advantage to Britain in combining with other nations to form a larger state, if effectively we lost our freedom and independent power of action by so doing?

**MYTH:** It is wrong to talk of Britain 'losing sovereignty' by being part of an integrated Europe; in effect, what we would be doing is pooling sovereignty with our partners.

**FACT:** If you throw something into a pool under terms by which you cannot withdraw it when you need it, you are in effect losing it. Let us have no more nonsense on this subject: 'pooled'



**NAPOLÉON'S RETREAT FROM MOSCOW**  
*The French Emperor's Russian campaign was part of his overall plan to unite the whole of Europe into one 'super-state'. The lives of millions were sacrificed in the service of this ambition*

had a variety of causes, nationalism being only one of them. The wars waged by the Roman Empire against its subjects were the result of the Romans trying to impose a supra-national order on Europe. The same can be said of the many wars waged on behalf of the Holy Roman Empire which followed. Then there were the wars of Napoleon, also waged in pursuit of a single European order. World Wars I and II were partially due to nationalist causes but partly also international ones. Since the end of World War II there have been many wars all over the world, most of them through political causes — witness Korea and Vietnam. The wars convulsing Africa are mainly political and only to a small degree national. Then there are civil wars, such as the one in America. This is not forgetting the many religious wars, such as the wars of the Reformation, like the Thirty Years War, most of the bloodshed of which arose out of Germans fighting against other Germans.

**MYTH:** This is the age of the super-powers. Only by forming a single Europe can we build a power big enough to take its place among these and stand up to America and Russia.

**FACT:** Standing up to Russia again! We should have dispensed with that, but what of standing up to America? Do you really think that America has urged Britain and the other European nations over all these years to unite into a single area — if the idea is that that area will rival the United States and her interests? The fact is that America has encouraged this very process precisely in order that the European nations may

sovereignty is lost sovereignty; there can be no other meaning.

**MYTH:** By being part of Europe and becoming strong through membership of Europe, Britain can in fact lead Europe. The European nations look up to and respect us for our long historical experience and accumulated wisdom. These things can be a factor in giving us European leadership.

**FACT:** Leadership, contrary to your supposition, comes from power. It is power, not experience or wisdom, that has given America effective leadership of the Western World in modern times. And British power has been diminished, not increased, through her membership of Europe. Britain, shorn of her global connections and interests, is a state of only the same size as France, West Germany and Italy. In the event of Germany being reunified, as she is bound to be in time, she would constitute a larger and more powerful state than Britain in her purely European context could be. By getting out of Europe and renewing her overseas links, Britain could acquire a power as great as any in Europe.

These considerations apart, we are deceiving ourselves if we think that Europe accords us any special awe or respect in consequence of our history. Europeans, contrary to popular belief, do not look up to Britain as a nation representing any particular virtues of 'wisdom'.

**MYTH:** Europeans constitute a common culture and civilisation; therefore they must unite to defend

*Contd. on back page*



**1973: BRITAIN SIGNS HERSELF INTO EUROPE**  
*We have suffered progressive erosion of sovereignty ever since this time*

that the first impetus to this came from Britain herself, when she turned her back on her old and loyal partners in her drive to Europe. This naturally alienated our kinfolk overseas and drove them to look elsewhere for trade and partnership. This process can be reversed by a change of policy by Britain.

**MYTH:** In recent years our trade

westwards.

**FACT:** A Soviet invasion westwards never was, and never will be, on the cards. Just what do you think the Soviets would want to invade and occupy Western Europe for when they already have their work cut out holding down their present satellites? They could not even keep tiny and backward Afghanistan under their heel; the very



# MOSLEMS TRY TO LAY DOWN THE LAW

THE MAN pictured in the photo printed just below looks as if he believes himself to be some feudal lord of the manor — at least as far as his



BOOK-BURNING IN BRADFORD  
Ex-Mayor Mohammed Ajeeb presides

present stomping ground of Bradford is concerned. He is Mr. Mohammed Ajeeb, now a Labour councillor and formerly the city's Lord Mayor.

He is presiding at the ceremonial burning of a book outside Bradford City Hall. This is the novel 'Satanic Verses', by Indian author Salman Rushdie, and it contains passages that Moslems like Mr. Ajeeb consider offensive to their religion.

Whether or not most British people would care for Mr. Rushdie's writings, this whole affair has gone far beyond the particular religious argument that has sparked off the furore.

On the very day that Mr. Ajeeb and his friends staged their public performance, W.H. Smith & Sons had buckled at the knees before the Moslem lobby's pressures. The company's Chairman in London, Sir Simon Hornby, had ordered the withdrawal of the book from sale at Smith's branches in Bradford.

Unbelievably, the chiefs of Bradford

Police, no less, had advised that if this were not done the safety and security of both the company's staff and its property in the city would be in serious danger from Moslem demonstrators stirred up by Ajeeb & Co.

## ISN'T THIS BRITAIN?

But hang on a moment, you may protest, Bradford is a British city, not a Saudi or a Pakistani one. At any rate, two thirds of its population are still British. Surely it is not for the city's militant Moslems to be dictating to everyone else what they may or may not read.

But Sher Azam, of Bradford Council of Mosques, would disagree. Not content with getting the book banned just in Bradford, Mr. Azam demanded that its publishers should withdraw it from circulation throughout the whole of Britain. In his words: "It's clear the book is causing offence to the Moslem

community. They must ban the book immediately, and stop further publication."

Although on this occasion the publishers seem to have told Mr. Azam to sling his hook, back in Bradford the heads of police were not the only part of the city's ruling establishment to have taken the line that mere British serfs, such as the managers and employees of W.H. Smith & Sons, should instantly snap to attention and obey the slightest whim of their new masters. Labour MP for Bradford West Max Madden suggested that the blasphemy laws should be extended to protect other religions in Britain besides Christianity.

The owner and editor of the literary magazine 'Granta' remarked of W.H. Smith's submission to these bully-boy tactics: "For the leading distributor of print in Britain to capitulate to pressure of this kind — to a book burning — just leaves me speechless. It is at the heart of the whole issue of

free expression and is going to encourage the whole Moslem movement."

There will be many Britons among those who pause to think about it who will say Amen to that.

## CENSORSHIP BY MINORITIES: WHO WILL BE NEXT VICTIMS?

And come to that, how does anyone know where this process will stop? What other ethnic or religious minorities may, following this example, feel empowered and encouraged to think they may decide what books people may buy in the shops of our country? The mind boggles at the ramifications for free expression in Britain, now that these minorities are on the rampage to stifle it — and appear to have a great many politicians and heads of police on their side!

# The Mendis deportation: a cheap charade

## HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS REMAIN IN BRITAIN, WHILE GOVERNMENT DOES NOTHING

ONCE AGAIN it looks as if the Tory Government is aiming to pull the wool over the eyes of the British people as to its true policies on immigration with a grand symbolic gesture, amid a blaze of publicity, which means absolutely nothing in reality. This is the only way to read last month's deportation back to Sri Lanka, after no less than 17 years illegal residence in Britain, of Tamil immigrant Viraj Mendis.

Mendis, who spent most of his time in this country as an active agitator belonging to a fringe left-wing sect styling itself the 'Revolutionary Communist Group', was granted 'sanctuary' by the vicar of the Church of the Ascension in Manchester

Government has never lifted a finger to repeal, any foreigner who can make this claim stick in a court of law is given a right of residence in Britain. Those who have benefited most from this law have been entrants to this country from the Third World, especially Asia.

This has added a whole new category of coloured immigrant, the burden of which Britain has been made to bear by her politicians — and all this in addition to the wave upon wave of immigrants allowed in as a matter of course from ex-British colonial territories such as Pakistan, India and the Caribbean islands, with Hong Kong shortly to be added in 1997.

## BOGUS 'REFUGEES'

But this is not all. As is well known, vast numbers of those pitching up on Britain's doorstep as 'refugees' are not even what they claim. The great majority now seems to be made up of Third-Worlders interested only in the meal tickets which they see Britain and other western countries as offering them. Of 10,000 Vietnamese boat people currently in Hong Kong holding camps, pending immigration to the West, less than 10 per cent are reckoned to be political refugees; most are purely economic ones, out to raise their living standards by moving west.

Mendis was merely one of vast numbers of such would-be immigrants. It is hardly surprising in the circumstances that such gate-crashers into Britain should have got the message that this country was a soft touch for them and their kind. Mendis was in fact only caught after trying to push the boat out a little too far.

It has now become standard form for Third Worlders to turn up in Britain without proper documentation, claiming to have suffered political persecution at home. The calculation is that, if they can get a foothold here for long enough, they will be able to set in motion official enquiries into their refugee status which can be dragged out for many years.

Quite a repertoire of tricks has been

developed for conning the British immigration authorities and courts into swallowing the migrants' claims. For instance, racketeers in Pakistan will sell fake police documents to such would-be immigrants to Britain purporting to show that they have been 'beaten up' for political activities.

Once these people are in Britain, there are many other forms of trickery that these illegals can use. Lawyers can always be found to coach gate-crashers in what answers to give to immigration officials and how to repeat on their forms that they have a well-founded fear of 'persecution' in their homelands.

Meanwhile, according to one such illegal immigrant, if the whole process can be strung out for even as long as two years, "we can then make more money here in those two years than we could in ten at home, so if we get deported we still have something to show for it."

## BLEEDING HEART BRIGADE

And in the meantime, if the worst does come to the worst, such illegal immigrants can rely, like Viraj Mendis, upon the

bleeding heart brigade and the professional multi-racialist agitators in British politics, the media and the church to raise hell on their behalf.

By his conduct in the Mendis case, Home Secretary Douglas Hurd gave the illegal immigrant lobby a field day. For two years he allowed Mendis to doss in his church hideaway. No effort was made to arrest him until now, despite the fact that time and time again Britain's courts found that he did not remotely qualify as a 'refugee'. In any case, as Hurd himself admitted, any right to take sanctuary from the law in churches was abolished as long ago as 1623.

Small wonder that the whole of Asia concludes that the British must be the patsies of the world!

## ENTER 'RENTAMOB'

The lapse of these two years gave Mendis's supporters the opportunity to build up quite a campaign on his behalf. When he was finally removed from his Manchester church, first to Pentonville Prison in North London and then to Gatwick Airport for the flight back to Sri Lanka, mobs of red vermin turned out at each of these points along the route to barrack his police escort.

Back in Sri Lanka, Mendis calmly gave a

MENDIS  
Conned  
governments  
for 17 years



press conference at which he denounced Britain for deporting him. In response to questions, Sri Lankan officials said that Mendis had been unknown to them and was not liable to any sort of persecution.

If it has taken Douglas Hurd and the Government so long to act so weakly and half-heartedly against even so blatant a fraud as Viraj Mendis, then many Britons will be led to wonder what chance there is that any action at all will ever be taken against the many others who have got in here in the same way as Mendis.

At the end of the day, Britain still has millions of Afro-Asians in its midst, both legally and illegally; and the numbers of them are growing at an explosive rate by natural increase. Hurd and the Tories have made it plain that they do not intend ever to tackle this problem seriously.

So what, in reality, was the Mendis deportation but a cheap political charade, a kind of official hoax to lull the British public into thinking that the Government intends to get 'tough' on matters of immigration — while, at the end of it all, things remain exactly as they were before?



THE GREAT UNWASHED  
Mendis's friends from the political underworld stage demo on his behalf

when the immigration authorities belatedly caught up with him. To avoid deportation, he tried to claim that he was a 'political refugee' who would suffer persecution if returned to his homeland. Under laws of political asylum which the Thatcher

## NEW CAR PLANT

# Why must we go running to the Japs?

MRS. THATCHER was recently reported as taking the lead in the international battle to secure a major Japanese car plant for Britain.

She has ordered senior ministers to co-operate fully with Toyota executives scouring Europe for the best site for a factory offering up to 1,000 jobs.

Urging Toyota to follow Nissan and choose Britain for its new plant, the Prime Minister has indicated that taxpayers' money would be offered to

the Japanese firm as an inducement. She has said to MPs: "We believe we are the lead country for Toyota's choice, and they will be very welcome."

So now it looks as if we are going to have yet another large Japanese factory in Britain, resulting in just one more part of our workforce depending for its employment on decisions made in boardrooms thousands of miles across the oceans! Just at a time when many in this country are angry at the fact that the Duke of Edinburgh is going to Japan to represent Britain at Emperor Hirohito's funeral, here we have our own Government crawling and grovelling to the Japs to persuade them to set up another factory here!

Mrs. Thatcher is prepared to lavish taxpayers' money to help build the Jap car factory — yet she was not prepared to go on granting taxpayers' money to help British Leyland and many other British companies. Why money for foreign firms and not for British firms?

The new Toyota plant, if it is set up in this country, will take a great deal of business away from British car-makers, while the profits from its sales will go abroad. A British-owned factory, on the other hand, would keep its profits in Britain.

We believe that it is totally humiliating for a nation with a great car-making tradition like our own to go running to foreigners — and particularly Japanese — to plead with them to

establish factories here. Are we British not capable of doing so ourselves? Cannot our own money be used to set up factories to provide employment for



Mrs. Thatcher, seen here visiting the Nissan factory at Sunderland: she would rather subsidise Japanese industry than British

our own workers — employment that would be governed by decisions made here in Britain rather than across the seas?

## THE COMMON MARKET: TIME TO GET OUT!

(Contd. from front page)

about the future form the Community is to take, for that course is set, and we cannot change it. Let us leave the EEC to its own devices and disengage ourselves so that we can then start adopting the political and economic measures so necessary to safeguard our own future as a nation and clear up the appalling mess that has been made as a result of 30 years of European-orientated policies by successive governments, Tory and Labour.

If we do this, we will find that Britain will not be alone in the world — as the advocates of Europe have so often tried to pretend would happen in the event of our withdrawal. We have good friends and once-loyal partners in Australia, New Zealand and Canada. They have drifted away from us in recent times precisely because we have turned our backs on them in order to go into

Europe. The rift between ourselves and these countries will not be mended overnight, but a start can be made if we get out of the EEC and then proceed, unilaterally, to give preferences to them in those imports of food and raw materials which Britain must buy if she is to survive.

Meanwhile, by a progressive shutting out of imported manufactured goods from the EEC, as well as from other foreign countries, we can make the first moves towards the rebuilding of shattered British industries, and begin a great industrial resurgence in this country.

Will Mrs. Thatcher and her Government adopt these policies? We know that they will not. And that is what makes their present noises against the EEC silly, impractical and dishonest. Only through a revolutionary political change in Britain led by the forces of nationalism will our country be freed from foreign overlordship and again able to be master of her own destiny.

## YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — Fellow nationalists are forever complaining that we have no public platform, no media to express our views while the establishment bans us from TV, radio and press — and even meeting halls.

But there is one traditional public speaking place which they cannot stop us using. I am referring to Hyde Park's 'Speakers' Corner', where hundreds flock to hear speeches every Sunday.

On a recent visit there I heard plenty of Communists, Marxists, Sikhs, Indians, Blacks and IRA supporters, but no white British patriots. We can easily rectify this. BNP branches within easy travelling distance from Hyde Park should send a speaker and a BNP contingent to this spot every Sunday, armed with a Union Jack and a box platform.

RICHARD SMITH (16 years old)  
London N.11

(EDITOR'S NOTE: ...And armed with something more besides! Has young Mr. Smith any idea of how many able-bodied men would be required on such an occasion and in such a place to protect the speaker from serious injury, possibly even murder?)



# LOUGHTON RALLY BIG SUCCESS

A GREAT START was made to the new year with a superb rally in Loughton (Essex) staged by the local BNP branch, now renamed Epping Forest Branch. An audience of over 100 heard speeches by David Bruce and John Tyndall, with local organiser Mark Wilson acting as chairman of the meeting. The collection raised £250 and about an equivalent value of

literature was sold. The rally subsequently was reported prominently in the local newspaper.

Congratulations to Epping Forest Branch for organising this excellent event!

A further public rally will be held in Loughton in April in support of the local BNP candidate in the election to Essex County Council.



LOUGHTON RALLY  
David Bruce takes the platform

## NEW NATIONALIST LEAFLETS



Above are three of the new leaflets now available from the BNP: 'Immigration: the time to say STOP!' (left); 'Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed' (centre); 'Stand by Ulster!' (right). These leaflets cost £4.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £2.60 for 1,000 and £3.00 for £2,000

## British Nationalist Sales League

Below we give the current figures for monthly purchases of our paper by British National Party branches:-

|      |                         |                |
|------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 1st  | Enfield                 | 200 copies ea. |
|      | Epping Forest           |                |
|      | Hackney & Tower Hamlets |                |
| 4th  | Leicester               | 150 copies ea. |
|      | Lewisham                |                |
| 6th  | Leeds                   | 130 copies     |
| 7th  | Glasgow                 | 100 copies ea. |
|      | Liverpool               |                |
| 9th  | Birmingham              | 75 copies ea.  |
|      | Tyneside                |                |
| 11th | Camden                  | 70 copies      |
| 12th | Canterbury              |                |
|      | Darlington              |                |
|      | Manchester & Salford    | 50 copies ea.  |
|      | South Wales             |                |

## BNP Recordings

### RALLY '87

Video-recording of the BNP national rally in London on October 31st 1987. Hear speeches by Ronald Rickard (chairman), Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall. Price: £18 plus 67p p&p.

### Sound Recordings RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

### TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

### TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

### TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

### TYNDALL SPEAKS IV

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'; Side 2: Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (demolition of the case for multi-racial Britain).

These recordings are produced in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (plus 26p p&p).

All orders to: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

### SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.12. 64p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

## BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 20p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

|              |                       |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| 10 copies    | £2.00 + 52p post      |
| 25 copies    | £4.50 + £1.70 post    |
| 50 copies    | £8.00 + £2.10 post    |
| 100 copies   | £14.00 + £2.80 post   |
| 150 copies   | £20.00 + £3.00 post   |
| 200 copies   | £25.00 + £3.45 post   |
| 300 copies   | £35.00 + £4.00 post   |
| 400 copies   | £44.00 + £5.00 post   |
| 500 copies   | £53.00 + Bulk rate    |
| 1,000 copies | £103.00 + by Roadline |

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

## EUROPE: THE MYTHS AND THE FACTS

(Contd. from page 2)

this heritage.

**FACT:** Europeans most certainly do have things which they have a common interest in defending, and their culture and civilisation are in the forefront of these. But to see the Common Market as an institution devoted to this purpose is to ignore the evidence of what is happening today in Europe. Europe's common culture and civilisation are above all the result of a similar racial heritage: therefore what has to be preserved first and foremost is this racial heritage. Is that being done by the Common Market today? Witness the opening of the European borders to millions of peoples from the West Indies, Asia, the Middle East and Africa! And witness also the fact that moves are now afoot to extend the Common Market to embrace nations like Turkey and Morocco, just to name two.

Certainly, there are things which Europeans should be co-operating together to defend, and their common culture and civilisation are the most important of these. But let us not think that European culture and civilisation end with the borders of Europe. Are such culture and civilisation not shared by the countries of the White British Commonwealth, by White America, by White South Africa — indeed even by European Russia and the other Eastern European states?

The argument is not about the need to defend European culture and civilisation; the argument is about whether the Common Market is the right

institution for this purpose. We say it is not.

**MYTH: Nationalism is out of date in the world of today. It belongs to the past.**

**FACT:** On the contrary, nationalism is stirring everywhere. It is the main force in the Middle East. It is the principal ingredient in the success of Japan. It is active all over Asia and Latin America. It is now asserting itself in the Soviet Union, where the many nationalities making up that multi-ethnic empire are beginning to demand their rights and their independence. Today nationalism is on the march more than at most times in history!

**MYTH: Even accepting what you say about nationalism, there is no reason why we should surrender our national identity by being part of a united Europe. We shall still be Britons, Germans and French within that Europe, just as English, Scots, Welsh and Ulster people remain what they are within Britain today.**

**FACT:** There is a world of difference between the distinctive characteristics and traditions of the people comprising Britain and those of the nations comprising Europe — and the Euro-enthusiasts know this only too well. The fact is that Britain's independence, sovereignty and separate existence is an indispensable component of her national identity, and that identity would never be the same if these things were sacrificed. The component peoples of Britain have a long and ancient partnership extending back over centuries, so that, in the great majority, they feel themselves to be part of a common nation. No such circumstances exist with the different peoples and nations of Europe.

## SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £4.42 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles and £6.00 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....  
Address.....

## NEW BUILDING FUND: £4,533.12 NEEDED!

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| The BNP New Building Fund, launched to raise money for the staffing and equipping of the party's new premises, has got off to a very modest start, with only £466.88 being raised since the fund began. We hope we can do much better in future. | £5,000 |
|  | £4,000 |
|  | £3,000 |
|  | £2,000 |
|  | £1,000 |

Please send all contributions to New Building Fund, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please give generously!

## What We Stand For

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A government that will put British interests first
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The revival of British farming
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British support for the Whites in South Africa
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes
- The strengthening of Britain's defences
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media
- The elimination of communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom

## WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS  
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose £12 (Half rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....  
Address.....

## SUBS

British National Party members are reminded that their subscription renewals were due on the 1st January. New rate: £12 a year.

## Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the addresses of the main units:-

**NORTH WEST LONDON**  
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

**EAST LONDON**  
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

**SOUTH LONDON**  
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

**WEST KENT**  
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

**EAST KENT**  
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

**EPHING FOREST**  
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

**SUFFOLK**  
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

**NORFOLK**  
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

**SOUTH WALES**  
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

**BIRMINGHAM**  
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

**EAST MIDLANDS**  
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

**LIVERPOOL**  
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

**MANCHESTER & SALFORD**  
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

**LEEDS & BRADFORD**  
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

**HUDDERSFIELD**  
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

**WAKEFIELD**  
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

**DONCASTER**  
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

**SHEFFIELD**  
PO Box 44, Sheffield, S. Yorks. S1 1ES

**DARLINGTON**  
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

**TYNE & WEAR**  
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

**SCOTLAND**  
PO Box 85, Glasgow G8 8UL

The BNP also has units in Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Luton, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Rochdale, Warrington, Blackburn, Hull, Halifax, York, Dewsbury, Sunderland, Cumbria and Inverness. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.